ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH SCIENCE®

#### **SETAC Fellows Award - Rubrics**

#### **Review Instructions**

Each nomination is reviewed by five independent reviewers appointed by the Global Awards Committee (GAC) recruited from at least three different Geographic Units. All reviewers must declare absence of conflicts of interest to ensure objective judgement.

Each item is scored on a scale from 1 to 5 (1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very Good, 5: Excellent) and every reviewer makes a recommendation to accept or reject the nomination for the Fellows Award based on the approved review guidance.

If less than five reviewers accept the nomination, the GAC will discuss the evaluations with the reviewers and makes a final recommendation (accept or reject) to the SETAC World Council (SWC). If all five reviewers indicate accept, the GAC makes a recommendation to the SWC to grant the SETAC Fellow Award to the candidate.

**Please note:** The SETAC Fellows Award recognizes members with exemplary contributions to SETAC, the scientific field it embraces, and the science-policy interface. It is recognized that the professional circumstances—such as access to funds, ability to travel, and opportunities to publish—vary considerably among SETAC members from different sectors and regions of the world. The assessment process and criteria are designed to recognize excellence in these circumstances.

#### **Graded Items**

- 1. Professional Experience
- 2. Science Communication
- 3. Certificates, Awards, Grants, and Honors
- 4. Participation in Science and Science Policy Workshops
- 5. Presentations at SETAC Meetings
- 6. Key Publications
- 7. Engagement in SETAC Leadership
- 8. Lifetime Achievements
- 9. Support Letters
- Summary of Review

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH SCIENCE®

# 1. Professional Experience

1	Poor	Includes positions with limited importance and influence on the development of environmental science and management	
2	Fair	ncludes positions with increasing importance and influence on the development of environmental cience and management	
3	Good	ncludes positions of significant importance for the development of environmental science and management over a period of 5 years or more	
4	Very Good	Includes positions of major importance for the development of environmental science and management over a period of 10 years or more	
5	Excellent	Excellent Includes positions of critical importance for the development of environmental science and management over a period of 10 years or more	

### 2. Science Communication

1	Poor	Science communication is of limited importance for the development of environmental science and management	
2	Fair	Science communication contributes to the development of environmental science and management	
3	Good	Science communication has significant impact on the development of environmental science and management	
4	Very Good	Science communication highlights novel approaches and have significant impact on the development of environmental science and management	
5	Excellent	Science communication considered benchmarks in the development of environmental science and management	

# 3. Certificates, Awards, Grants, and Honors

1	Poor	Recognitions of limited importance in the field of environmental science and management	
2	Fair	ncludes recognitions with limited competition testifying of achievements in the field of environmental cience and management	
3	Good	ncludes competitive recognitions testifying of outstanding achievements in the field of environmental cience and management	
4	Very Good	Includes highly competitive recognitions testifying of major achievement in the field of environmental science and management	
5	Excellent	Includes highly competitive recognitions from esteemed organizations and testifying of unique achievement in the field of environmental science and management	

### 4. Participation in Science and Science Policy Workshops

1	Poor	No or occasional participation to workshops of limited importance on the overall development of environmental science and policy	
2	Fair	Occasional participation to workshops of limited importance on the overall development of environmental science and policy	



ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH SCIENCE®

3	Good	Occasional or regular participation to workshops with a recognized impact on the development of environmental science and policy	
4	Very Good	Regular participation as a guiding or leading contributor to workshops with a recognized impact on environmental science, policy and management	
5	Excellent	Regular participation as a guiding or leading contributor to workshops with a high impact on environmental science, policy and management	

# 5. Presentations at SETAC Meetings

1	Poor	Occasional contributor to SETAC meetings over a limited period of time	
2	Fair	Regular contributor to SETAC meetings over an extended period of time	
3	Good	Regular contributor to SETAC meetings over an extended period of time with impactful presentations of importance to the meeting program	
4	Very Good	Regular contributor to SETAC meetings over an extended period of time with impactful presentations of critical importance to the meeting program	
5	Excellent	ellent Frequent contributor to SETAC meetings over an extended period of time with impactful presentations of critical importance to the meeting program	

# 6. Key Publications

1	Poor	Contributor to publications in the field of environmental science and management	
2	Fair	Contributor to highly relevant publications with impact on the development of theories and practices advancing environmental science and management	
3	Good	Leading contributor to highly relevant publications with impact on the development of theories and oractices advancing environmental science and management	
4	Very Good	Leading contributor of one or more high impact benchmark publications of critical importance to the development of theories and practices advancing environmental science and management	
5	Excellent  Leading contributor to five high impact benchmark publications of critical importance to the development of theories and practices advancing environmental science and management		

# 7. Engagement in SETAC Leadership

1	Poor	No or occasional engagement in SETAC committees etc. and no leadership roles	
2	Fair	Regular engagement in SETAC committees etc. over a limited period of time	
3	Good	Continued engagement in SETAC committees etc. over an extended period of time	
4	Very Good	Very Good Continued engagement in SETAC committees etc. over an extended period of time including leadership roles	



ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH SCIENCE®

### 8. Lifetime Achievements

1	Poor	Contributor to achievements in the field of environmental science and management	
2	Fair	Contributor to highly relevant achievements of importance to SETAC's mission of advancing environmental science and management	
3	Good	Leading contributor to highly relevant achievements of importance to SETAC's mission of advancing environmental science and management	
4	Very Good	Good Leading contributor of one or more high impact benchmark achievements of critical importance to SETAC's mission of advancing environmental science and management	
5	Excellent	Leading contributor to five high impact benchmark achievements of critical importance to SETAC's mission of advancing environmental science and management	

# 9. Support Letters

1	Poor	At least two support letters from SETAC members from two different sectors testifying of long-term contributions of the candidate to SETAC's mission and to SETAC as an organization	
2	Fair	At least two support letters from SETAC members from two different sectors testifying of significant and long-term contributions of the candidate to SETAC's mission and to SETAC as an organization	
3	Good	At least two support letters from SETAC members from two different sectors testifying of high level and long-term contributions of the candidate to SETAC's mission and to SETAC as an organization	
4	Very Good	Two support letters from SETAC members from two different sectors testifying of unique achievements and long-term contributions of the candidate to SETAC's mission and to SETAC as an organization	
5	Excellent	Three support letters from SETAC members from three different sectors testifying of unique achievements and long-term contributions of the candidate to SETAC's mission and to SETAC as an organization	

### Summary of Review

	,	
	Item	Score
1	Professional experience	
2	Keynote lectures	
3	Certificates, awards, grants or honors	Must be 2 as highest to see
4	Workshops	Must be 2 or higher to pass
5	Presentations	
6	Publications	
7	SETAC leadership	Must be 4 or 5 to pass
8	Lifetime achievements	Must be 4 or 5 to pass
9	Support letters	Must be 2 or higher to pass
10	Average score items 1-6	Must be 3 or higher to pass
11	Average score items 7-10	Must be 4 or higher to pass



ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH SCIENCE®